

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/687,278	<b>Applicant(s)</b> AJELLO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Mathieu D. Vargot	<b>Art Unit</b> 1732	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Gorman (Atty).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 December 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
Those in case

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Gorman agreed to limit all claims to include the aspects of releasing the lens from a mold using a cryogenic substance and without the application of external force to the lens, such allowable over the prior art--see attached Examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 _____ (Examiner/SPE Signature)	_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
--	--